

Self-Governed Collaborative Inquiry in Action: A Case Study of a Large-Scale Online Youth Community

John Chen, Lexie Zhao, Feiwen Xiao, Michael S. Horn, Uri J. Wilensky

Abstract: Online youth communities are fertile ground for collaborative learning. While prior research on such communities tends to focus on small-scale or adult-moderated learning spaces, this study reports on Physics Lab's Online Community (PLOC), a large-scale online youth community that has generated more than 138,000 projects. Using the framework of Community of Inquiry (CoI), our exploratory mixed-methods analysis suggested the existence of CoI's three interrelated presences: cognitive, social, and teaching in PLOC, and that in absence of instructors, it is possible for youths to perform teaching presence collaboratively.

Introduction

While most of online communities are not designed as educational spaces, virtual social spaces can be fertile grounds for collaborative and learning (Cress et al., 2016). In this study, we investigate a large, self-governed youth community with the lens of Community of Inquiry (Garrison et al., 1999), one of the most prominent frameworks for analyzing and designing online communities for teaching and learning. The CoI framework consists of three core elements: cognitive, social, and teaching presence (Swan et al., 2009). Although the majority of CoI studies situate in formal higher education settings (Kozan & Caskurlu, 2018), studies are also conducted in K-12 (Borup et al., 2014), workplaces (Garrison et al., 2010), and blended learning environments (Duncan & Barnett, 2009). Compared to open online communities, CoI communities are limited in time and space and often require a teaching presence facilitated by instructors. Yet, the framework also hypothesizes that teaching presence may be performed by any participant (Garrison et al., 1999). Here, we are interested in whether it is possible for a student-led CoI to emerge.

Many previous studies have shown that large-scale adult communities are capable of self-organizing around shared goals (e.g., Oeberst et al., 2014), regulating common practices and enforcing social norms (e.g., Konieczny, 2010), and coordinating the challenging process of distributed knowledge creation (e.g., Oeberst et al., 2014) through self-regulation and self-governance. The vision of a self-governed social system as a learning environment with room for learners to reflect, discuss, and revise criteria for knowledge and processes of learning, could have profound implications for designers of learning environments (Obberst et al., 2014). However, this is often not the case for communities of youth. Therefore, we seek to explore: *is it possible for the three presences (cognitive, social, and teaching) of the CoI framework to emerge within an online youth community?*

Physics Lab's Community (PLOC)

Physics Lab is a mobile learning software for youths to construct interactive simulations and share their projects (<u>https://turtlesim.com/products/physics-lab/</u>). This study is situated in Physics Lab's Online Community (PLOC), which has over 3 million users and 138,000 projects (as of 2021). In this study, we focus on the Chinese-speaking sub-community as it has the largest share of users. Most of them are K5-K12 learners in out-of-school contexts. While the technological design of Physics Lab resonates with that of the Scratch website, the emergence of self-regulation and self-governance in PLOC makes it more like Wikipedia. In 2019, the *Regulation of Physics Lab*, serving as the constitutional document of the self-governance, was drafted and enacted by youths. While the first author of this paper, the main developer of the platform since 2017, still reserves some statutory powers as defined by youths, he never intends to use them. The research team has thus relinquished the ultimate authority over PLOC.

Methodology

We adopt the stance of member-researcher as suggested by Adler (1987). Therefore, our research combined some authors' online ethnographic experiences (Hine, 2008) and qualitative content analysis. Bias due to our special designer-membership status in PLOC was controlled by possible methods as suggested by the literature, such as a) clear identification of our dual status (as facilitators of and researchers; b) refraining from making unverified claims; and c) triangulating our ethnographic experiences with other data sources (Konieczny, 2010). In this study, we present



vignettes from our qualitative content analysis of PLOC. The first dataset (50 projects) was first open-coded by three authors, then one author triangulated the first round of code with the coding scheme of CoI (Garrison et al., 1999; Swan et al., 2009, with minor adaptations for informal environments). The second dataset (900 projects) was systematically coded for their social, pedagogical, and innovative features to portray the overall social landscape with an average cohen kappa coefficient of 0.71. Our insider knowledge of PLOC granted us a deeper understanding of PLOC during the collection and analysis of our datasets (Adler, 1987).

Findings

In the following sections, terms in **bold** indicate codes from Garrison (1999)'s coding scheme of CoI.

Cognitive Presence

In the CoI framework, Cognitive Presence (CP) is defined as the extent to which learners are able to construct and confirm meaning through sustained reflection and discourse (Garrison et al., 1999). We demonstrate how cognitive presence is present in PLOC through a vignette. *Will*, the author of *the Snake Game* project, began the project with their **triggering event** (Table 1). While doubted by other youths in the community, *Will* set out for this mission by opening a development diary. In *Will's* profile page, we found dozens of projects during the making of *the Snake Game* related to *Will's* ideas, trials, and failures (Table 2, 3), signaling the **exploration** and **integration** phase. *Will* not only discussed their own projects with peers, but also spent substantial time talking with peers about their work. Through our analysis of the temporal dataset, we found all four phases to be closely intertwined, as predicted by Swan et al. (2009): the **resolution** of an issue within the project led to another **triggering event**, opening a new round of inquiry. Six months later, *Will's* journey would culminate in the final project (Table 1) which both explains the mechanism *Will* used for other learners, and reflects on the choices they made along the way.

Table 1. Excerpt from the *Snake Game*.

@Lim once explained to me about making a Snake game in Physics Lab: "even the simplest form requires 128 bytes, but the app supports 8 - and it would be very laggy." ...
Appendix 1: How to play? (From Web) ...
Appendix 2: Explanation of Snake's movement ...
Appendix 3: Why did I use so many relays? ...
Appendix 4: Review of my previous works ...
Appendix 5: Trailer of my next work ...

Table 2. Exc	ernt from	Will's	in_nrocess	projects

As shown in the simulation, if every cell needs a judging structure like this, the desk would soon be full				
Another possible solution: use the offset of D-triggers to store				
coordinates, and utilize fixed voltage to				
Refresh of the display: use T-triggers to invert the current				
Well, how to deter				
Let me think if the	re is a more appro	priate typ	pe of trigger that	ı
RS-trigger.				

Table 3.	Summary of <i>Will's</i> history of inquiry.
A	True dimensional manine light must

Aug 18Structure to determine the visibility of SnakesGrAug 23Another solution for Greedy SnakesGo	Aug 12	Two-dimensional moving light spot	Hum
Aug 23 Another solution for Greedy Snakes Go	Aug 17	A new way for movement	Scier
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Aug 18	Structure to determine the visibility of Snakes	Gree
Jan 21 Progress of the Greedy Snakes Ge	Aug 23	Another solution for Greedy Snakes	Gove
	Jan 21	Progress of the Greedy Snakes	Gene
Feb 15 The Greedy Snake, 1.0	Feb 15	The Greedy Snake, 1.0	Life

Table 4. Examples of chat rooms of different topics.

Humor	On "Nonsense Literature"	
Science	Index of my mathematical works	
Greeting	ting Welcome, a newcomer to Physics Lab!	
Governance	Rise against "water"! (note: meaningless posts)	
General	All-New Chatroom. Gen 2	
Life	What should I do if I am trapped by illusions	

Social Presence

In CoI, Social Presence (SP) is defined as the extent to which participants could construct shared meaning through sustained communication (Garrison et al., 1999). Although the technological design of Physics Lab software was focused on social interactions around projects, learners have been developing their own ways of communication and formed a friendly social culture (see quote below).



The most attractive thing in Physics Lab is the community atmosphere. Here, you can share the circuit you designed or the celestial system you made with the community; you could write "experiments" to introduce your creations and communicate with others. Users here are all very friendly.

- "To New Users - Introduction to Physics Lab", Announcement by Immortal (Editor; Middle schooler)

For example, a common practice is to share a project as a chat room. Dedicated to greetings, governance, humor, and various topics of school life (Table 4), chat rooms serve as a perfect indicator of group cohesion and affective expression. Similarly, we saw how Pi (in Table 5) demonstrates their collective identity around PLOC. Although Pi was a newcomer in this community, his five-month participation as a reader gave him confidence in selfdisclosing their personal history, as well as in facing potential criticisms from peers.

Table 5. Description excerpt, "Motion of Three Bodies".	Table 6. Discussion excerpt, "Motion of Three Bodies"
Hello everyone! I am a newcomer. I downloaded Physics Lab for five months, yet today I created my first account and	Pi : Hi everyone! A question for you. If someone shoots forward on a train at the speed of light. Due to inertia, people,
released my first work. In case you found any incorrect	guns, and bullets would all accelerate to the speed of light.
knowledge, please understand and point it out to me. Thanks!	However, $()$ So where would the bullet move?
Let's get to the point now. ()	Rainbow: Good question! But I don't know
() I spent four months on this model, and this is the only one	Luke: Time is relative, according to the theory of relativity.
that works. All other (systems) either faced collision (of stars),	The bullet might be fired. () BTW, I support your work!
or they just escaped and never went back. ()	Pi: But nothing could be faster than light, right?

Open communication, as demonstrated in the next vignette in the form of a continuing discussion (see the snippet in Table 6), also exists in PLOC. Not only did we find many instances of such discussions, in which youths would ask questions and offer advices, they also frequently expressed their agreements and disagreements with each other like Pi and Luke and appreciate others' contributions like Rainbow.

Teaching Presence

In CoI, Teaching Presence (TP) is defined as the design, facilitation, and direction of cognitive and social processes for achieving personally meaningful and educationally worthwhile learning outcomes (Anderson et al., 2001). Here, we demonstrate how youths are capable to organically facilitate discourse for peers. Soon after sharing, Pi asked a question about the theory of relativity in the comment section of Motion of Three Bodies (Table 6). Soon, three learners tried to answer the question, though none of them was certain. Doug, a middle school student moderator, weighed in. They first acknowledged and encouraged the question asked by *Pi*, then answered the question in detail. Finally, *Doug* summarized his answer. This vignette suggests the complexity of Teaching Presence in PLOC. On one hand, as there is little trace of instructors' presence in PLOC, Doug is but a more advanced peer. On the other hand, Doug did perform some teaching responsibilities, blurring the boundaries between instructors and students.

In the following quote, we present another case in which *Doug* is seen to engage in **direct instruction** by presenting contents and questions to peers. In PLOC, 77% of Featured projects serve as "introduction", purposefully introducing scientific concepts, principles, or experiments to other youths (Table 8). Further, through a peer-review mechanism designed and enacted by youths, they also engage in providing constructive feedback to each other.

My work is quite different from other works which explain the third cosmic velocity. I spent a lot of space discussing the definition and laying out the foundation, in order to prepare for more in-depth explanations. - Description in "Third Cosmic Velocity" by Doug (Middle school student moderator)

Table 7.	A glance at typical "Introduction" projects.	Table 8. A recent list of announcements		
Physics	Explanation of NE555	Election	A special election of editors will be hold soon	
Physics	Measuring the capacity of inductors and capacitors	Development	Physics Lab 3.0 Developer Assembly	
Physics	Introduction to optics	Governing	Extra requirements for (submitting to) Featured	
Biology	PCR technology	Governing	On plagiarizing others' works	
Math	Moving points problems in mathematics	Scaffold	Newcomers' survival guide	
Chem	Purification of nitric acid	Scaffold	For newcomers: How to Become a Volunteer	

Table 7 A glance at typical "Introduction" projects



Design and organization of PLOC happen in multiple ways. Learners not only have drafted and enacted the *Regulation of Physics Lab*, but also designed and organized the community through announcements, in which they set agenda, promote the mission, provide guidelines, formalize social norms, and create scaffolds (see Table 8); through moderation (moderators of different ranks could hide posts, ban users, or promote projects); and through involving of the technological design. The "Announcement" tag itself, the sticky feature (to allow moderators to put certain projects on top of lists), the publicization of moderation logs - an increasing number of community features in Physics Lab are proposed either by consensus or sometimes a vote among moderators. Through the self-regulated governing processes, as demonstrated by the quote, learners in PLOC seem to be able to manage the challenging landscape of this large-scale open online youth community, reflect on shared meanings and purposes, and thus support the social and cognitive presences of the whole community (Garrison et al., 1999; Swan et al, 2009) in a collaborative way.

In order to keep the software happily used by everyone and to realize the value of this software, some users and I have worked together to formulate the "Regulation of Physics Lab". We hope that everyone could consciously abide by these regulations, and actively cooperate with moderators to "enforce the law".

- Preface, Announcement: "Regulation of Physics Lab" by Golan (Administrator; 10-grader)

Discussions

In this study, we presented several vignettes that suggested the existence of Cognitive, Social, and Teaching presences from Community of Inquiry (CoI) in PLOC that come from emergent social interactions of learners. While it would be challenging to compare PLOC with CoIs in classroom settings, the possibility of CoI(s) to emerge within large-scale online youth communities is impressive in itself. In *Mindstorms*, Papert (1980) described samba schools, self-governed social clubs that set their own theme and trajectory, as a model for the ideal learning society. Based on the authentic needs of the community and individuals' histories of participation, members take turns to the roles of learners, instructors, and facilitators. While samba schools are unique for their situated cultural particularities, their sustainable meanings and purposes could be a key factor in their success (Zagal & Bruckman, 2005). Could they also be a key reason for which PLOC thrives? If so, what makes them possible? There remains much to be learned.

References

Adler, P. A., & Adler, P. (1987). Membership roles in field research. Sage Publications, Inc.

- Anderson, T., Rourke, L., Garrison, D. R., & Archer, W. (2001). Assessing teaching presence in a computer conferencing context. Journal of Asynchronous Learning Networks, 5(2), 1-17.
- Borup, J., West, R.E., Graham, C.R., & Davies, R.S. (2014). The Adolescent Community of Engagement Framework: A Lens for Research on K-12 Online Learning. The Journal of Technology and Teacher Education, 22, 107-129.

Cress, U., Moskaliuk, J., & Jeong, H. (2016). Mass Collaboration and Education.

- Duncan, H., & Barnett, J. (2009). Learning to teach online: What works for pre-service teachers. Journal of Educational Computing Research, 40(3), 357–376.
- Garrison, D.R., Anderson, T., & Archer, W. (1999). Critical Inquiry in a Text-Based Environment: Computer Conferencing in Higher Education. Internet High. Educ., 2, 87-105.
- Garrison, D.R., Anderson, T., & Archer, W. (2010). The first decade of the community of inquiry framework: A retrospective. Internet High. Educ., 13, 5-9.
- Hine, C. (2008). Virtual Ethnography: Modes, Varieties, Affordances. (2008). In The SAGE Handbook of Online Research Methods. SAGE Publications, Ltd.
- Konieczny, P. (2010). Adhocratic governance in the Internet age: A case of Wikipedia. Journal of information technology & politics, 7(4), 263-283.

Kozan, K., & Caskurlu, S. (2018). On the Nth presence for the Community of Inquiry framework. Comput. Educ., 122, 104-118.

- Oeberst, A., Halatchliyski, I., Kimmerle, J., & Cress, U. (2014). Knowledge Construction in Wikipedia: A Systemic-Constructivist Analysis. Journal of the Learning Sciences, 23, 149 - 176.
- Papert, S. (1980). Mindstorms: Children, computers, and powerful ideas. Mindstorms. New York: Basic Books, Inc. Swan, K., Garrison, D. R. & Richardson, J. C. (2009). A constructivist approach to online learning: the Community of Inquiry framework. In Payne, C. R. (Ed.) Information Technology and Constructivism in Higher Education: Progressive Learning Frameworks. Hershey, PA: IGI Global, 43-57.
- Zagal, J.P., & Bruckman, A. (2005). From Samba Schools to Computer Clubhouses: Cultural Institutions as Learning Environments. Convergence, 11, 105 - 88.